

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/030,636	03/29/2002	Peter Hamberger	111717	8113	
75	90 05/30/2006		EXAMINER		
Oliff & Berridge			THOMAS, ALEXANDER S		
P O Box 19928 Alexandria, VA 22320			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1772		
		DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				ΨV		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/030,636	HAMBERGER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alexander Thomas	1772			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	••		
WHIC - Exte afte - If NO - Fail Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Dominions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Disperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be til will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communic ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 M</u>	lay 2006.				
· · · —		action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>8-19</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14 and 15</u> is/are with Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>8-13 and 16-19</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	ndrawn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 🗌 10) 🔲	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.12			
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12)□ a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	is have been received. Is have been received in Applicate rity documents have been received in PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	,		
2) 🔲 Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atom Application (1-10-132)			

Application/Control Number: 10/030,636 Page 2

Art Unit: 1772

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The phrase "impregnation applied substantially only on the edges faces thereof" in claim 8 is new matter because there is no original disclosure precluding the coating of the strip in areas other than the edge faces.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 16, 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Derwent publication RU 2004424. The reference discloses wood strips (i.e. an elongated shape) that have their end faces coated with a material that would inherently impregnate the faces to a degree. Concerning claim 19, this claimed is directed to a process limitation that does not add any patentably significant feature to the final product.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,636 Page 3

Art Unit: 1772

4. Claims 16 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the German patent document G9413059. See the translation of the German Patent Office action. The term "parquet", by definition, is directed to wood materials. Concerning claim 19, this claimed is directed to a process limitation that does not add any patentably significant feature to the final product.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 8-13 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the German patent document 3919514 in view of Van Gulik ('592). The primary reference discloses the invention substantially as claimed, namely a plurality of wood strips (as evidenced by the use of the term parquet to describe the element and strips, and the wavy lines Figure 1 which symbolize wood) adhered to a support layer that has a tongue and groove on opposite side edges; see the Abstract, and Figures 1 and 3. However, the reference does not disclose coating the edges of the strips. The secondary reference discloses coating the edges of floor elements that are to be interconnected on a substrate with other floor elements in order to minimize the sensitivity to moisture of the resulting array of elements; see column 3, lines 20-27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to coat all of the edges of the

Application/Control Number: 10/030,636 Page 4

Art Unit: 1772

strips in the product of the primary reference before laminating them onto the support plate in view of the teachings in the secondary reference in order to minimize the sensitivity to moisture of the resulting array of elements. Coating the edges of the strips would inherently impregnate the edges to a degree. Concerning claim 18, this claimed is directed to a process limitation that does not add any patentably significant feature to the final product.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/030,636

Art Unit: 1772

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Thomas whose telephone number is 571-272-1502. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-4:00 M-THUR.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ALEXANDER S. THOMAS
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Clepudy & Rouce

Page 5